

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 1949 of 1989

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

PADMAVATI RASIKLAL BHATT

Versus

DHORAJI NAGARPALIKA

Appearance:

MR MD RANA for Petitioner

MR BA VAISHNAV for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

Date of decision: 10/12/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

When the matter was called out, the attention of the Court was invited to the interim order recorded on 11.7.89 and the letter of the petitioner dated 25.2.91. It is stated in the letter that the respondent Nagarpalika has made payment of retiral benefits to the petitioner by installments except the amount of interest. Therefore, it was, jointly, submitted that interest amount is required to be paid as per the directions

contained in the earlier order. Learned advocate for the respondent Nagarpalika has also placed a copy of the letter of the petitioner addressed to the Nagarpalika and the statement of the amount towards retiral benefits as on 19.11.93. An amount of Rs.1465.36 ps. is shown outstanding in the last column of the said statement. Obviously, if this amount is not paid and pursuant to the earlier direction any further amount of interest is due, the respondent Nagarpalika shall pay the same on or before 31st December, 1999.

In view of the aforesaid directions, this petition is partly allowed. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

...

(vjn)